



# **RoE CPRI mapper strawman proposals v2**

Jouni Korhonen  
May 27, 2015

# Two breeds of structure aware mappers

- ❑ The “dummy” CPRI mapper
  - Just remove the line coding.
- ❑ The “better” CPRI mapper
  - Break the CPRI (v6.1) framing into multiple RoE streams.
- ❑ Proposal: define both in the IEEE 1904.3 Specification.


# Motion #5

- TF shall restrict its work to two CPRI mappers (“dummy” and “better”) as proposed in tf3\_1506\_korhonen\_9a.pdf page 2.
  
- Jouni Korhonen making the motion
- Second by Richard Maiden
  
- Technical motion ( $\geq 2/3$ )
  
- Yes: 8    No: 0    Abstain: 1

# The dummy structure aware mapper

- ❑ Remove the 8B/10B or 64B/66B line coding.
- ❑ Transport N Basic Frames in a RoE data packet.  $256 \bmod N$  must be 0.
  - The number N negotiated during link setup.
- ❑ CPRI Control Words may be extracted and transported in a separate RoE Control stream.
- ❑ Mark the start and end of HF as well.

# The dummy mapper cont'd



- Reserve a pkt\_type (say 0x01).
- Payload CPRI Basic Frames after removing the 8B/10B or 64B/66B coding:
  - TBD: whether there is a separate pkt\_type for 8B/10B and 64B/66B line codings.
  - Use S&E flags to mark the start, mid and end hyperframes.
- flow\_id can be used to multiple individual CPRI flows between SA/DA pair.
- TBD whether timestamps and/or seqnums are used.
  - In a case of seqnums the increment amount is to be decided during the "link setup".

# Dummy mapper packetization concerns

- ❑ RoE packets should have the same length.
- ❑ The assumption is that a RoE packet with  $E=1$  ends the carried frame and the frame ends at full octet boundary.

# Motion #6

- Approve the “dummy” CPRI mapper as proposed in tf3\_1506\_korhonen\_9a.pdf pages 4-6 as a baseline for work.
  
- Jouni Korhonen making the motion
- Second by Raz Gabe
  
- Technical motion ( $\geq 2/3$ )
- Yes: 9 No: 0 Abstain: 1






# The better structure aware mapper


- ❑ Map  $N \cdot T_c$  worth of Basic Frames into  $M \cdot \text{RoE}$  packets.
- ❑ Each RoE packet carries  $K$  (where  $K \geq 1$ )  $A \times C$  but multiple  $T_c$  worth of sample data e.g.  $8 \cdot T_c$  @20MHz LTE -> 64 samples per RoE packet.
- ❑ RoE control packets to carry auxiliary bits.
- ❑ Do not send reserved control words or unused data -> less data to send by required some intelligence to interleave it over multiple RoE Control packets.
- ❑ Pick up the lowest hanging fruit and define IQ sample based mapping only for one radio technology (LTE) with stuffing bits at the end of the container block..

# CPRI mapper and control words..

- ❑ Do not send:
  - Sync + timing.
  - L1 inband protocol.
  - Reserved field.
  - -> total 212 words.
  - -> 3392 bytes per  $256 * T_c$  (128bits cw).
- ❑ Fits easily into available RoE Ctrl bandwidth,
  - unless there is competing traffic like 1588..



# The better mapper



- Reserve a pkt\_type (say 0x02).
- S&E flags set accordingly.
- flow\_id is the CPRI AxC number.
- Either timestamps or seqnums used.
  - In a case of seqnums the increment amount is to be decided during the "link setup".
- Payload is an AxC.
- CPRI control words are transported as a separate RoE control packet stream.
  - Also the optional "extended\_header\_space" can be used to carry parts of the control data. The content is vendor specific.

# AxC encoding for the “better” mapper

- ❑ The sample length negotiable during the link setup phase.
- ❑ Samples shall not be interleaved.
  - I first then Q.
  - From MSB to LSB.
- ❑ Example; 15 bits samples, word size 32 bits (for illustration purposes):

|     |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0   |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    | 3  |    |     |     |     |     |
| 0   |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     | 6   |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1  |    |     |     |     |     |
| I14 | I13 | I12 | I11 | I10 | I9 | I8 | I7 | I6 | I5 | I4 | I3 | I2 | I1  | I0  | Q14 | Q13 | Q12 | Q11 | Q10 | Q9 | Q8 | Q7 | Q6 | Q5 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1  | Q0  | I14 | I13 |
| I12 | I11 | I10 | I9  | I8  | I7 | I6 | I5 | I4 | I3 | I2 | I1 | I0 | Q14 | Q13 | Q12 | Q11 | Q10 | Q9  | Q8  | Q7 | Q6 | Q5 | Q4 | Q3 | Q2 | Q1 | Q0 | I14 | I13 | I12 | I11 |
| I10 | I9  | ... |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |

# Motion #7

- Approve the “better” CPRI mapper as proposed in tf3\_1506\_korhonen\_9a.pdf pages 9-12 as a baseline for work.
  
- Jouni Korhonen making the motion
- Second by Raz Gabe
  
- Technical motion ( $\geq 2/3$ )
- Yes: 9 No: 0 Abstain: 1



# Potential TLVs

- ❑ Link setup TLVs – TBD.
  - In addition to CPRI “link setup” also negotiate which subchannels are actually used.
  - Length of the sample.. etc.
- ❑ CPRI Control Word content:
  - Sync&timing – only send the timing part.
  - Slow C&M sent as-is (optional)
  - L1 not sent – part of link setup.
  - Ctrl\_AxC sent as-is (optional).
  - Vendor specific sent as-is (optional).
  - Fast C&M sent as-is but (optional).
- ❑ TLV realization:
  - Separate TLVs for each subchannel -> incurs some encapsulation overhead, unfortunately.
  - Interleave parts of Fast C&M into every possible RoE control packet.