| \#R1-5 Type: E TF: TF2 Clause: 1.2 | Page: 15 Line: 15 Commenter: Powell, William / INDEPENDENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Accept | Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: - |
| The wording on this line includes the word "the" that would read better without it. The use of "the" here sounds like a specific group, instead of network operators in general. <br> Propose to change wording to: "cost, network operators increasingly combine optical distribution section..." |  |
|  |  |
| - |  |



| \#R1-7 Type: E TF: TF2 Clause: 1.3 | Page: 15 Line: 33 Commenter: Powell, William / INDEPENDENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Accept | Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: - |
| Current wording reads: "- Clause 1 provides an overview of the IEEE 1904.2 specifications, including..." The IEEE 1904.2 standard will be generally thought of as a single specification (singular, not plural). i.e. - line 35 also discusses a "specification" (not plural) |  |
| Propose to change "specifications" to "specification" |  |
| - |  |
| \#R1-2 Type: ER TF: TF2 Clause: 4.1 | Page: 25 Line: 4 Commenter: Thomas, Angela / |
| Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Reject | Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: - |
| The EtherType that is written 0xA8-C8 should be written without the hyphen, i.e., 0xA8C8. |  |
| Change 0xA8-C8 to 0xA8C8. This change is also needed on: p.32, line 4 and line 20; p.47, line 8; p.85, 3rd row of table 8A-2; p.86, 5th row from bottom of table; p.87, lines 3 and 21 of table 8A-4; p.89, 3rd row of Table 8A-6; p.91, 3rd row of table 8A-8; p.89, 9th row from bottom of table 8A-8; p.94, 3rd row of table 8A-10; p. 96 , 1st row of table at top of page, p.96, 3rd row of table 8A-12; p98, 1st row of table at top of page. Note: alternatively, the notaion 'A8-C8', i.e., without the '0x' but with the hyphen, can be used. |  |
| The BRC believes the existing formatting of hex numbers is more common and more readable. No changes to the draft needed. |  |
| \#R1-1 Type: ER TF: TF2 Clause:5.2.6 | Page: 39 Line: 5 Commenter: Thomas, Angela / |
| Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Accept | Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: - |
| Terms in "Organizationally Unique 36-bit Identifier (OUI-36)" are misordered. |  |
| Change "Organizationally Unique 36-bit Identifier (OUI-36)" to "36-bit Organizationally Unique Identifier (OUI-36)" |  |
|  |  |
| \#R1-3 Type: ER TF: TF2 Clause: 8A.1.2.1 Page: $85 \quad$ Line: 3 Commenter: Thomas, Angela / <br> Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Reject Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: -  |  |
|  |  |
| The EtherType that is written 0x88-09 should be written without the hyphen, i.e., 0x8809. |  |
| Change 0x88-09 to $0 \times 8809$. This change is also needed on pp. 88, $89,92,95$, and 97 (in tables on those pages). Note: alternatively, the notaion 'A8-C8', i.e., without the '0x' but with the hyphen, can be used. |  |
| The BRC believes the existing formatting of hex numbers is more common and more readable. No changes to the draft needed. |  |


| \#R1-4 | Type: ER TF: TF2 | Clause: 8A.1.2.1 | Page: $85 \quad$ Line: 3 | Commenter: Thomas, Angela / |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comment Status: Proposed | Response Status: Reject | Commenter Satisfaction: None | Category: |  |

Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Reject
Commenter Satisfaction: None
Category: -
The address 0x01-80-C2-00-00-02 should be written without the '0x', i.e., as 01-80-C2-00-00-02.
Change 0x01-80-C2-00-00-02 to 01-80-C2-00-00-02. The change is also needed on pages $88,89,92,95$, and 97 (in the tables on those pages).
The BRC believes the existing formatting of hex numbers is more common and more readable. No changes to the draft needed.

| \#R1-8 | Type: E | TF: TF2 | Clause: 8A.1.2.2 | Page: $88 \quad$ Line: 0 Commenter: Powell, William / INDEPENDENT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Comment Status: Proposed | Response Status: AIP | Commenter Satisfaction: None | Category: - |  |

In the last line in the right-most column of Table 8A-4, the phrase "Filled with zerous when not used" is used. According to a Google "Merriam-Webster" search, "zerous" is not a word.
Proposed to change "zerous" to "zeros" (supposedly, either zeros or zeroes is the plural of zero, according to "Webster;" I think zeros is more common). The last cell of the right most column of Table 8A-10 (p. 96) and Table 8A-12 (p.98) uses similar wording and both use the word "zeros"
Changed "zerous" to "zeros"

| \#R1-9 Type: E TF: TF2 Clause: 8A.1.2.3 | Page: 90 Line: 0 Commenter: Powell, William / INDEPENDENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Accept | Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: - |
| In the last line in the right-most column of Table 8A-6, the phrase "Filled with zerous when not used" is used. |  |
| Proposed to change "zerous" to "zeros" |  |
| - |  |

\#R1-10 Type: E TF: TF2 Clause: 8A.1.2.4 Page: 92 Line: 0 Commenter: Powell, William / INDEPENDENT

Comment Status: Proposed Response Status: Accept Commenter Satisfaction: None Category: -
In the last line in the right-most column of Table 8A-8, the phrase "Filled with zerous when not used" is used.
Proposed to change "zerous" to "zeros"

